1 2	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP B. Trent Webb (pro hac vice)	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP Daniel F. Polsenberg (Nev. Bar No. 2376)
3	Peter Strand (pro hac vice) Ryan D. Dykal (pro hac vice) 2555 Grand Boulevard	W. West Allen (Nev. Bar No. 5566) Joel D. Henriod (Nev. Bar No. 8492)
4	Kansas City, MO 64108-2613 Telephone: (816) 474-6550	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 949-8200
5	Facsimile: (816) 421-5547 bwebb@shb.com	dpolsenberg@lrrlaw.com wallen@lrrlaw.com
6	Robert H. Reckers (pro hac vice)	jhenriod@lrrlaw.com
7	600 Travis Street, Suite 1600 Houston, TX 77002	RIMINI STREET, INC. Daniel B. Winslow (pro hac vice)
8	Telephone: (713) 227-8008 Facsimile: (713) 227-9508 rreckers@shb.com	6601 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 300 Pleasanton, CA 94566
9		Telephone: (925) 264-7736
10	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP Mark A. Perry (pro hac vice)	dwinslow@riministreet.com
11	1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.	John P. Reilly (pro hac vice)
	Washington, DC 20036-5306 Telephone: (202) 955-8500	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500
12	mperry@gibsondunn.com	Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (336) 908-6961
13	Blaine H. Evanson (pro hac vice)	jreilly@riministreet.com
۱4	333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071	
15	Telephone: (213) 229-7228 bevanson@gibsondunn.com	
16	Attorneys for Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin	
ا 17	LIMITED OF AFTER	DICEDICE COVER
18	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
19	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA
20		
21	ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPO-	Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL
22	RATION, a California corporation,	EMERGENCY MOTION
23	Plaintiffs,	RIMINI'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION
	v.	TO SHORTEN
24	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	TIME FOR HEARING ON ITS MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY
25	SETH RAVIN, an individual,	RELATING TO ORACLE'S
26	Defendants.	MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES
27		
28		
.~		

1. Rimini's motion to shorten time is not moot.

Even with this Court's extension of time for Rimini to oppose the fees motion, Rimini's experts and lawyers will need time to review and analyze the information before filing that opposition. If the Court does not consider the motion to compel on an expedited basis, it will be well into January before Oracle produces the material requested. As such, this Court should still resolve the motion to compel on an expedited basis.

2. The shortened time no longer has to include briefing on the motion to compel

In light of the Court's order granting Rimini time to oppose Oracle's motion for fees, however, Oracle does not need to file an expedited response to Rimini's motion to compel. Its response is due tomorrow (December 10, 2015), anyway, under Local Rule 7.1. Rimini's reply brief will be due about December 21, 2015, depending on Oracle's service of the opposition.

3. This Court should set a hearing on the motion to compel

Rimini requests that the Court set a hearing, or resolve the issue, as soon after December 21 as possible.

16 December 9, 2015

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP

By: /s/ Daniel F. Polsenberg

DANIEL F. POLSENBERG (SBN 2376)
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
(702) 949-8200

Attorneys for Defendants RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation and SETH RAVIN, an individual <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

I hereby certify that on December 9, 2015, I caused to be electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court for U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, using the electronic case filing system. The electronic case filing system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to the attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means.

/s/ Judy Estrada

An Employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber LLP